Measurable goal	Do not use grades.	What are your current results?	What did you learn from the results?	What did you improve or what is your next step?		85	85	85	85	85
What is your goal?	(Indicate type of instrument) direct, formative, internal, comparative					Spring, 2014	Spring, 2016	Spring, 2018	Spring, 2020	, Spring, 2022
MFT - Overall Goal: 85th percentile	Biannual testing Direct Summative External Comparative	The scores dropped significantly in spring 2018. We had a change in the way that we sampled students to participate and some faculty turnover. MFT exam changed between 2016 and 2018.	We have evaluated our curriculum and the score subscales to determine what we need to do. Previously, we had incentivized students to be invested in the MFT exam in the past. That incentive was ended in the middle of this reporting period and then reinstated. The results show that the incentive is working.	Next step: Continued faculty discussion of results. We will continue to find ways to have our students be actively invested in the exam.	95 85 75 45 45 55 45 57 95 45 57 45 57 45 57 45 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57	92	80	51	92	79
MFT - Accounting B.S.	Biannual testing Direct Summative External Comparative	The scores dropped significantly in spring 2018. We had a change in the way that we sampled students to participate and some faculty turnover. MFT exam changed between 2016 and 2018.	CPA scores remain high and our rank nationally has remained stable. The results bounced back after a blip in 2018.	Next step: Continued faculty discussion of results. We will continue to find ways to have our students be actively invested in the exam.	95 85 75 65 5 spring, 2016 Spring, 2018 Spring, 2020 Spring, 2022		96	76	99	90
MFT - Management B.S.	Biannual testing Direct Summative External Comparative	The scores dropped significantly in spring 2018. We had a change in the way that we sampled students to participate and some faculty turnover. MFT exam changed between 2016 and 2018.	We have evaluated our curriculum and the score subscales to determine what we need to do. Previously, we had incentivized students to be invested in the MFT exam in the past. That incentive was ended in the middle of this reporting period and then reinstated. The results show that the incentive is working.	Next step: Continued faculty discussion of results. We will continue to find ways to have our students be actively invested in the exam.	95 85 65 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 5		76	25	84	71
MFT - Entrepreneurship B.S.	Biannual testing Direct Summative External Comparative	The scores dropped significantly in spring 2018 and 2022. We had a change in the way that we sampled students to participate and some faculty turnover. MFT exam changed between 2016 and 2018.	We have evaluated our curriculum and the score subscales to determine what we need to do. Previously, we had incentivized students to be invested in the MFT exam in the past. That incentive was ended in the middle of this reporting period and then reinstated. The results are inconclusive and need more monitoring.	Next step: Continued faculty discussion of results. We will continue to find ways to have our students be actively invested in the exam.	95 75 65 55 45 5pring, 2016 Spring, 2018 Spring, 2020 Spring, 2022		92	48	83	48

MFT - Finance B.S.	Biannual testing Direct Summative External Comparative	The scores dropped significantly. We had a change in the way that we sampled students to participate and some faculty turnover. MFT exam changed between 2016 and 2018.	We have evaluated our curriculum and the score subscales to determine what we need to do. Previously, we had incentivized students to be invested in the MFT exam in the past. That incentive was ended in the middle of this reporting period and then reinstated. The results show that the incentive is working.	Next step: Continued faculty discussion of results. We will continue to find ways to have our students be actively invested in the exam.	95 987 65 45 35 Spring, 2016 Spring, 2018 Spring, 2020 Spring, 2022		92	38	99	98
MFT - Industrial Management B.S.	Biannual testing Direct Summative External Comparative	The scores dropped significantly. We had a change in the way that we sampled students to participate and some faculty turnover. MFT exam changed between 2016 and 2018.	We have evaluated our curriculum and the score subscales to determine what we need to do. Previously, we had incentivized students to be invested in the MFT exam in the past. That incentive was ended in the middle of this reporting period and then reinstated. The results show that the incentive is working.	Nothing to do. This major has ended.	100 90 90 90 60 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50		59	83	99	** not reported - fewer than 3 students tested (major ending)
MFT - International Business B.S.	Biannual testing Direct Summative External Comparative	The scores dropped significantly in spring 2018. We had a change in the way that we sampled students to participate and some faculty turnover. MFT exam changed between 2016 and 2018.	There are really too few students in this population to gain an accurate picture.	Nothing to do as it is a small population. We will continue to monitor going forward.	95 85 75 65 Spring, 2016 Spring, 2018 Spring, 2020 Spring, 2022		85	67	** not reporte d - fewer than 5 students tested	** reported - fewer than 3 students tested
MFT - Marketing B.S.	Biannual testing Direct Summative External Comparative	Scores have been steadily increasing. MFT exam changed between 2016 and 2018.	We have evaluated our curriculum and the score subscales to determine what we need to do. Previously, we had incentivized students to be invested in the MFT exam in the past. That incentive was ended in the middle of this reporting period and then reinstated. The results show that the incentive is working.	our students be actively invested in the exam.	95 85 75 55 45 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55 55		36	57	88	92
<u>Note:</u> The data was reorgani	zed by major starting for Spring 2016.	Subsequent results will be organ	uized as such.		DATE	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
					National Pass Rate	55%	49%	48%	52%	59%
CPA - GCC Pass Rate Goal: To be above the national average	Annual results Direct Summative External Comparative	CPA pass rates continue to be strong.	Keep up the good work	No action needed. Will continue to provide focused accounting curriculum. New accounting faculty hired in fall 2021 and fall 2022, which continues to show our commitment for improvement. The NASBA will not be publishing these rates due to the CPA exam changes. We may not be able to report these numbers going forward.	90% 70% 50% 30% 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019	79%	73%	75%	80%	67%